

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/831,182 | 07/18/2001 | Stefano Colloca | B-4175PCT 61 | 1087 |
| 75 | 90 10/11/2002 | | | |
| Richard P Berg Ladas & Parry Suite 2100 5670 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | WINKLER, ULRIKE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1648 DATE MAILED: 10/11/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | _09/831,182 | COLLOCA, STEFANO | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ulrike Winkler, Ph.D. | 1648 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDON | timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | is action is non-final. | tion on to the operation | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex-parte-Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to th | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not rece | ived. | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 | 9(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | <u>_</u> . | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inform | nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>12</u> . nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/831,182

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's representative called to question the claim groupings in the Election/Restriction requirement of Paper No. 9. Upon review a typographical error was detected necessitating the instant corrections. The office regrets any inconvenience this may have caused applicant.

Additionally, in Paper No. 9 it was noted that there were claims in the application that were improperly multiply dependent. Applicants submitted a courtesy-facsimile copy of the preliminary amendment submitted May 4, 2001. The preliminary amendments of May 4, 2001 and December 7, 2001 have been located and entered into the file.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-25 and 27, drawn to a cell (composition I) for the production of helper dependent adenoviral vectors.

Group II, claim(s) 26, drawn to an adenoviral vector (composition II).

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under unity of invention between different categories of inventions

Application/Control Number: 09/831,182

Art Unit: 1648

will only be found to exist if specific combinations of inventions are present. Those combinations include:

- A product and a special process of manufacture of said product. A)
- A product and a process of use of said product. B)
- A product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and a process of use C) of said product.
- A process and an apparatus specially designed to carry out said process. D)
- A product, a special process of manufacture of said product, and an apparatus E) specially designed to carry out said process.

The allowed combinations do not include multiple products, multiple methods of using said products, and a method of making a product as claimed in the instant application.

The composition of Group I is not required for the composition of Group II. Therefore, Group I and Group II do not share a common core structure, common properties or a common activity. Accordingly, Groups I and II are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept and restriction is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ulrike Winkler, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-308-8294. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 8:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached at 703-308-4027.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 or for informal communications use 703-308-4426.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Ulrike Winkler, Ph.D. 10/9/0